Quantitative Medical Image Analysis

Brandon Whitcher^{1,2,*}

1. Mango Solutions, Chippenham, UK

2. Statistics Group, Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, UK

*Contact author: bwhitcher@mango-solutions.com

Keywords: Drug Development, Magnetic Resonance Imaging, Mathematical Modelling, Positron Emission Tomography, Statistical Inference

All pharmaceutical companies apply medical imaging to their drug development process. One key difference between the application of medical imaging for drug development versus clinical diagnosis is quantification. The biomarkers obtained from a medical imaging experiment must be quantifiable in order to apply classical methods of statistical inference; for example, group comparisons based on treatment or disease. Imaging biomarkers are obtained in clinical trials, usually on a subset of subjects, at every stage in drug development. The process of obtaining a summary statistic or hypothesis test from *in vivo* medical imaging requires several steps, such as reconstruction, registration, segmentation and mathematical modelling before inference may be performed. The number and sequence of steps will vary depending upon the disease, drug therapy and biological system under investigation. I believe that open-source programming environments like R and public-domain medical imaging data sets (e.g., ADNI, OAI, RIDER) are key to incrementally improving the quality of the methodology and implementation of algorithms for medical imaging applied to drug development. I will provide an overview of quantitative methods for medical image analysis using specific packages developed in the R community and relevant clinical data.

References

- Mueller, S. G., M. W. Weiner, L. J. Thal, C. R. Petersen, R C nd Jack, W. Jagust, J. Q. Trojanowski, A. W. Toga, and L. Beckett (2005). Ways towards an early diagnosis in Alzheimer's disease: The Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI). *Alzheimers and Dementia* 1, 55–66.
- O'Connor, J. P. B., A. Jackson, M.-C. Asselin, D. L. Buckley, G. J. M. Parker, and G. C. Jayson (2008). Quantitative imaging biomarkers in the clinical development of targeted therapeutics: Current and future perspectives. *The Lancet Oncology* 9(8), 766–776.
- Padhani, A. R., G. Liu, D.-M. Koh, T. L. Chenevert, H. C. Thoeny, T. Takahara, A. Dzik-Jurasz, B. D. Ross, M. Van Cauteren, D. Collins, D. A. Hammoud, G. J. S. Rustin, B. Taouli, and P. L. Choyke (2009). Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging as a cancer biomarker: Consensus and recommendations. *Neoplasia* 11(2), 102–105.
- Schmid, V. J., B. Whitcher, A. R. Padhani, N. J. Taylor, and G.-Z. Yang (2009). A Bayesian hierarchical model for the analysis of a longitudinal dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI oncology study. *Magnetic Resonance in Medicine* 61(1), 163–174.
- Tabelow, K., J. D. Clayden, P. Lafaye de Micheaux, J. Polzehl, V. J. Schmid, and B. Whitcher (2011). Image analysis and statistical inference in neuroimaging with *R. NeuroImage* 55(4), 1686–1693.
- Tofts, P. (Ed.) (2003). *Quantitative MRI of the Brain: Measuring Changes Caused by Disease*. Chichester, UK: Wiley.
- Whitcher, B. and P. M. Matthews (2006). Noninvasive brain imaging for experimental medicine in drug discovery and development: Promise and pitfalls. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Medicine* 20(3), 167–176.